

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/801,779	MISAWA, ATSUSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian Jelinek	2615	

All Participants:

(1) Brian Jelinek.

(2) Susan Pan.

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2005

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 14, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Swayze

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner indicated that the amendments to claims 1, 14, and 21 failed to distinguish the instant application over the previously cited Swayze reference.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)